



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,326	04/09/2004	Robert M. Leach	38184.03402US	9700
38647	7590	04/16/2009		
MILBANK, TWEEDE, HADLEY & MCCLOY LLP			EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL SQUARE BUILDING			BROWN, COURTNEY A	
1850 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 1100				
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/821,326	LEACH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	COURTNEY BROWN	1616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) COURTNEY BROWN. (3) Einar Stole.

(2) Johann Richter. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yoshihiro Oota et al. (JP Patent Application S60-89422).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner discussed sending a new Office Action using newly found prior art, Yoshihiro Oota et al. (JP Patent Application S60-89422) in combination with Heuer et. al of record. The previous Office Action filed on February 26, 2009 will be vacated. Therefore, there is no need for Applicant to respond to the outstanding Office Action mailed on February 26, 2009.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

4/13/09

/Johann R. Richter/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616